

To: Whitley, Christopher[Whitley.Christopher@epa.gov]; Field, Jeff[Field.Jeff@epa.gov]
Cc: Tapia, Cecilia[Tapia.Cecilia@epa.gov]; Hammerschmidt, Ron[Hammerschmidt.Ron@epa.gov]; Asher, Audrey[Asher.Audrey@epa.gov]
From: Gravatt, Dan
Sent: Mon 12/30/2013 8:15:06 PM
Subject: RE: PIC - West Lake inquiry Fw: (360105835) Region 7 WWW Comments

DRAFT proposed responses to this set of questions below are on the H: drive, Community-MCE-EJ correspondence etc, filename "Draft proposed responses to R7 actionline from Agnes Uhls.doc". Some comments I didn't know how to respond to, so I throw it out to the group to decide and propose language.

Daniel R. Gravatt, PG

US EPA Region 7 SUPR/MOKS

11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219

Phone (913) 551-7324

Principles and integrity are expensive, but they are among the very few things worth having.

From: Whitley, Christopher
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 10:17 AM
To: Gravatt, Dan; Field, Jeff
Subject: FW: PIC - West Lake inquiry Fw: (360105835) Region 7 WWW Comments

This one's for you...

ADDRESS OF REQUESTER

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

COMMENTS OF REQUESTER

1) When will the expanded and intensified gamma GCPT testing plan be released for West Lake Landfills-Area 1? (Related to Bridgeton Landfill fire barrier build). This was addressed in an urgent letter from the MO Attorney General the last week of November. Today is 12/28/2013.

2) When will EPA admit that it is documenting and allowing the contamination

of groundwater beneath West Lake Landfill, and that this is not bounded by property lines of WL Areas 1 and 2.

3) What are the EPA's determined background level(s) it has accepted for West Lake Landfills' testing of soil, water and air (gamma)?

4) Given the fact that lead is the final byproduct of the Uranium/Thorium/Radium chain, and EPA urgently removes lead from contaminated land sites, why is EPA not addressing the massive lead contamination that West Lake is becoming?

5) ASPECT gamma survey maps show higher levels of gamma outside of the landfill property. Why are these are not addressed? What is/are the background gamma level(s) used for the ASPECT survey, before and after the subtraction of natural background sources?

6) Is there a more specific FOI site/contact in Region 7 for questions concerning the St. Louis region?

Thank you for your time.
EMAIL OF REQUESTER

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Agnes Uhls
ORG OF REQUESTER
STL Manhattan Waste Project
TELEPHONE OF REQUESTER

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

submit
Send Comment

WARNING NOTICE

This electronic mail originated from a federal government computer system of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Unauthorized access or use of this EPA system may subject violators to criminal, civil and/or administrative action. For official purposes, law enforcement and other authorized personnel may monitor, record, read, copy and disclose all information which an EPA system processes. Any person's access or use, authorized and unauthorized, of this EPA system to send electronic mail constitutes consent to these terms.

This information is for tracking purposes only.

Submitting script: /cgi-bin/mail.cgi
Submitting host: mo-69-69-205-34.sta.embarqservices.net (69.69.205.34)
Browser: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:26.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/26.0
Referred: <http://www.epa.gov/region07/contact.htm>
TSSMS: rgytgrnj
Mail to File: r7actionline
